



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/503,215	02/12/2000	Jack Niewiadomski	777.364US1	6252
75	590 09/24/2003			
John E Whitaker			EXAMINER	
Merchant & Go P O Box 2903	ould PC		NAHAR, QAM	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	11
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	( )

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		924				
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	09/503,215	NIEWIADOMSKI ET AL.				
riavious y riadion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Qamrun Nahar	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATE TO PLATE TO PLATE THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATE THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATE THE REPLY FILED 03 SEPTEMBER THE REPLY FILED 03 FAILS TO PLATE THE	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	lication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterminity of the shortened of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T late on which the petition under 37 CFR insign and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set	e of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) L they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	n (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		a separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		nsidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEI	Y to issues which were newly				
⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)              ⊠ will not be entered or b)              □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-26, 28-33, 36 and 41-47.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	is a)□ approved or b)□ disa	approved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s					
10. Other:	V	KAKALI CHAKI				
	\$	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance, and in further, the arguments are not persuasive. For example, in the remarks, the applicant argues that Fortin fails to disclose "modifying an executable file to invoke a user-supplied function in place of an original function" and "retaining access information of the original function, the access information enabling the user-supplied function to invoke the original function" as recited in claim 1. Examiner's response: Fortin discloses these two claimed features in column 4, lines 47-51. That is, Fortin teaches replacement of base code with the newly provided code and the access information of the base code is retained in order to invoke the newly provided code. Another example, in the remarks, the applicant argues that Fortin fails to disclose "saving the address of the original function in a threaded local storage variable and invoking a user-supplied function using the address" as recited in claim 15. Examiner's response: Fortin discloses these two claimed features in column 6, lines 1-5 and lines 46-51. That is, Fortin teaches saving the first instruction of the target routine which is the address of the original function in a threaded local storage variable where there is a link/direct branch to the address of the second instruction of the target routine.